



Cultural Evolution Society Transformation Fund

YOU MUST NOT SUBMIT THIS DOCUMENT

The grant submission portal is currently under development. Through the portal you will register your details and download an interactive form for the working group proposal, which you will then upload as part of your submission. In the meantime, full details of the requirements for proposals are provided below as a guide.

Stage 1 (Outline) Application Proforma: APPLIED WORKING GROUPS

When drafting this section, keep in mind that cultural evolution is a multi-disciplinary field. Your anonymised proposal will be read by several independent reviewers chosen by the grant admin team who will take into account your reviewer suggestions, any conflicts of interest, as well as maintaining a balance of gender, expertise and geographical diversity. You should not assume specialist knowledge related to your particular discipline.

Each section has a word count which you cannot exceed. As proposal content will differ markedly, it is not expected that you will necessarily use the full word count for every section.

Which global challenge(s) or societal issue(s) does your working group proposal intend to have impact upon?

Business; Conservation; Education; Politics; Public health; Violence; Welfare (human/non-human);
Other, please specify

Title of Working Group Project (max. 20 words)

Project Summary/Abstract (Provide an overall summary of your intended working group project, including why it is novel and needed, and justify how cultural evolution may be applied to have real impact on the challenge/societal issue identified above; max. 250 words)

What are the key aims of your proposed working group? (Ensure you are realistic and distinguish between aims that are achievable in the 18 months of funding and those that are longer-term. Remember that the intention is for working groups to become a sustained part of CES activities after this funding ceases; max. 250 words)

Provide details of your anticipated international applied workshop (Include details of the suggested location and attendees/delegates (list those that have agreed), and be sure to address the [expectations](#) highlighted for the workshops on the CES website; max. 500 words)

What other activities do you propose to achieve your aims? *(Include details of whom your intended audience is, how you will reach them and any anticipated legacy of the funded work for a sustainable CES working group in this area; max. 250 words)*

How feasible is your working group project? *(Include discussion of any risks, mitigations and back-up plans, as well as ethical implications or considerations for you/the research team and participants; max. 250 words)*

Proposed Timeline *(The grants provide 18 months of funding with the start date expected to be between December 2022 and January 2023, and international workshops expected between mid-January and mid-June 2023; you may use bullet-point format; max. 250 words)*

Specify your intended budget *(Adhere to the [funding particulars](#) for the Working Groups, especially noting the eligible costs and regulations; currency: GBP (£). Minor budgetary changes will be allowed at full application stage; you may use bullet-point format; max. 250 words)*

Explain your choice of host institution(s) and why you (and the project team if applicable) are exceptionally well qualified to carry out the proposed work *(The host institution(s) are those that will provide facilities and/or administer the finances to you/members of your team; The project team includes all personnel you have specified above, particularly named individuals but un-named where appropriate; Note that your response to this question will not be considered by reviewers until after they have completed the anonymous review of your proposal; max. 250 words)*

Name and contact details of 3 suitable referees *(do not suggest reviewers who: belong to the proposed host institution(s) for your proposal; belonged to the same institution as you (the PI) in the last 3 years; use the same field site as in the proposal; you have published more than paper with in the last 5 years; were your PhD supervisor(s); you have close personal relationships with such as marriage, parents, siblings etc.)*

For each reviewer you will be asked to provide:

Name; Work Address; Email;

An explanation of what makes this reviewer a suitable referee (their expertise; max. 40 words)